



***cinema expression:* Morphology of the Contemporary Tale**

***cinema expression:* Morphology of the Contemporary Tale**

**John Prescott**


Creative works and Exegesis submitted as fulfilment  
For the Doctorate of Creative Arts

Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences  
University of Technology  
Sydney, Australia  
2007

## Certificate of Authorship

I certify this thesis has not previously been submitted to any University and I hold the copyright in the creative works.

I also certify the exegesis has been written by me and any assistance I have received in my research work and the preparation of the exegesis has been acknowledged.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "John Prescott". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial 'J' and a long, sweeping underline.

-----  
John Prescott

## Acknowledgements

I wish to express my appreciation to all those involved with the production of Moonfall. Collaboration in filmmaking is essential and I was fortunate to have a crew and cast dedicated to the project. End credits do not reflect that commitment - thank you.

I would like to acknowledge my sincere gratitude for the support given to me by Gillian Leahy. As my supervisor, her efficacy, clarity of direction, and allegiance to this creative research, has been significant. {and on occasions, abstracted with anecdotal canine concerns.} Thank you for your generosity.

At various stages during my candidature, Noel King and Liz Jacka provided counsel and encouragement. I thank Paula Hamilton for her comments in relation to the exegesis, and to Juleigh Slater for mediation with administrative matters. Thanks also to Rachael Taylor for assistance with the exegesis.

Finally, I want to thank Lynda Stephenson for her unreserved support during the demands of production, the creative blank walls of writing, and life's general chaos. For her presence, I am grateful.

# Contents

<b>Certificate of Authorship</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Part A</b>	<b>v</b>
Screenplay of Moonfall	1 - 33
Poster of Moonfall	34
DVD of Moonfall	35
<b>Part B</b>	<b>vi</b>
Exegesis	
An Introduction	1
Section one: Tilting to exegesis	11
Section two: On methodologies	17
Section three: Scheduling creativity	25
Section four: Aspects of narrative	42
Section five: Towards the gaze	58
Section six: Occasioning praxis	69
Section seven: An ending	83
Bibliography	86
<b>Appendix one:</b>	<b>vii</b>
Screenplay of Inside Venus	1 - 96
<b>Appendix two:</b>	<b>viii</b>
Screenplay of Slam	1 - 90

## Abstract

The general understanding of the term ‘morphology’ is that it refers to the form and structure of something and implies changing characteristics, rather than a fixed entity. Within the primary ambit of this research, morphology refers specifically to the contemporary tale as found in narrative filmic texts and as demonstrated in the works *Moonfall*, *Inside Venus* and *Slam*. Supporting the creative research component of these cinematic texts, is the exegesis; and it similarly, is not a defined entity, but more an arbitrary articulation of some of the theoretical and practical concerns that have circulated and helped inform the research and development of the creative works.

The creative component consists of three screenplays and a short feature film *Moonfall*. The stories were inspired by actual events. The development of the scripts relied on practitioner-research enquiry, contextualized by the conventions of genre and determined by the exigency of low-budget film production. A DVD copy of *Moonfall* is included in Part A and the screenplays *Slam* and *Inside Venus*, at different stages of development, are appended for reference.

The exegetical writing contained in Part B is organized in sections across a range of material that relates to research, film theory, psychoanalysis and praxis. Insights that have emerged in my practice-based research, illuminated aspects of the discourse and through recursion, became relevant to the production of the creative works. This process of circular causality denies primacy to any specific text. This exegesis is not a definitive compendium nor is it intended to present an argument or explicitly frame the content of the works and how they should be received.

The cinema expression, implicit in the screenplays, is made apparent by the viewers response to the film and is entirely subjective and appropriate.

## Part A